HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, C.J.

WRIT PETITION NO.63 OF 2006

PETITIONER		M/s Kanker Roadways, partnership firm Through Partner – Preetam Singh Garcha, S/o Late Shri Jogendar Singh Garcha, Aged about 57 years, R/o Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)
	versus	
RESPONDENTS	1.	State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Transport, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
	2.	Regional Transport Authority, Chhattisgarh at Raipur
	3.	Secretary / RTO Jagdalpur C.G.

Present : Mr. B.K.Rawat, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Yashwant Singh, learned Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 15th February, 2006)

The Regional Transport Authority, Chhattisgarh, at Raipur, the second respondent herein, by its order dated 25-2-2005, granted regular permit on the route Raipur to Jagdalpur in pursuance of an application made by the petitioner dated 19-10-2004. The grievance of the petitioner is that though the second respondent granted permit on the aforementioned route, the Secretary, R.T.O., Jagdalpur, the third respondent herein, has not yet granted permit in pursuance of the order of the second respondent dated 25-2-2005. Learned Government Advocate, on instruction, would submit that after the second respondent passed the order dated 25-2-2005, the third respondent issued a letter

dated 25-5-2005 to the petitioner calling upon him to produce certain documents and particulars mentioned therein, but, the petitioner has not yet responded to that letter and in the circumstance, permit was not issued. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, would submit that the petitioner has not received the above letter of the third respondent. A copy of the letter dated 25-5-2005 of the third respondent was made available to the learned counsel for the petitioner in the Court. In that view of the matter, I dispose of the writ petition granting two weeks' time to the petitioner to supply/furnish the documents and the particulars sought in the letter of the third respondent dated 25-5-2005 and on furnishing of those documents and particulars, the third respondent is directed to issue permit in pursuance of the order of the second respondent dated 25-2-2005. The writ petition is disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

Sd/-
S.R. Nayak
Chief Justice

Gopal